UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,534	03/12/2004	Long Sheng Yu	76982-Z/JPW/JSW	7819
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 08/11/201 J NHAM. LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller	,	ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO		
20th Floor NEW YORK, N	NY 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/799,534	YU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Alyssa M. Alter	3762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filad within two month	a of the data of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the compa	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	· , , ,	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (101-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Niketa I. Patel/	/Alveca M Alter/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3762	/Alyssa M Alter/ Examiner Art Unit: 3762							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 to include "a gripping member having an opening" would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that claim 12 is not disclosed in the modified Jassawalla since Jassawalla does not disclose "a coupling" or "gripping pads". Furthermore, the Applicant contends that the The stitches of Jassawalla, referenced by the Examiner, are not the coupling or the gripping pads as claimed.

However, the "coupling" in accordance with the specification is the "gripping pads". If they are in fact two different components, the examiner respectfully requests the Applicant indicate where there is support in the specification for such structure. As previously stated, the examiner considered the stiches to function as "gripping pads" since the engage the adapter to the sewing ring. Thus, since the gripping pads are the coupling, and the examiner has considered the stiches to be "gripping pads", Jasswalla does in fact disclose gripping pads. Therefore, claim 12 remains rejected under the modified Jassawalla.